

# Exhibit 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:	:	
	:	Chapter 11
KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION,	:	Case No. 02-10429 (JKF)
A Delaware Corporation, et al.,	:	
	:	Jointly Administered
Debtors.	:	
<hr/>		
KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL	:	
CORPORATION,	:	
	:	
Movant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	<b>ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED</b>
	:	
PUBLIC UTILITY NO. 1 OF CLARK	:	
COUNTY, d/b/a CLARK PUBLIC	:	
UTILITIES,	:	<b>Objection Deadline: Pursuant to District Court Rules</b>
	:	
Respondent.	:	

**MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE REFERENCE OF THE DEBTORS'  
MOTION FOR AN ORDER DISALLOWING CLAIMS [Docket No. 7480]  
FILED BY CLARK PUBLIC UTILITIES FROM THE UNITED  
STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

Public Utility District No. 1 of Clark County d/b/a Clark Public Utilities (“Clark”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d), respectfully requests that the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the “District Court”) withdraw the reference to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Court”) of the proceeding commenced by debtor Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation’s (“Kaiser”) Motion for an Order Disallowing Claims Filed by Clark Public Utilities, filed on October 10, 2005 (Docket No. 7480) (copy attached as **Exh. 1**, the “Claims Objection”). Clark offers this Brief in support of its Motion to Withdraw the Reference (the “Motion”).

In order to resolve the substantive issues underlying Clark’s proofs of claim filed in this case, the Bankruptcy Court will have to delve deeply into the Federal Power Act, (“FPA”) 16 U.S.C. § 791 *et seq*<sup>1</sup> and certain regulations promulgated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, (“FERC”). Clark moves to withdraw the reference of jurisdiction over the substantive liquidation of its claims to the District Court, which is mandated by 28 U.S.C. § 157(d). In the alternative, Clark moves that the reference be withdrawn under the District Court’s discretion also pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d).

Clark has two claims against Kaiser arising out of a power sale transaction that occurred in February 2001 -- the height of the energy crisis on the West Coast and in the Pacific Northwest. First, Clark has a claim for “disgorgement” within the meaning of the FPA because Kaiser sold power to Clark without authority from FERC. Second, Clark is entitled to a “refund” within the meaning of the FPA for the unjust and unreasonable rate Kaiser charged Clark for the power Clark purchased from Kaiser. The precise substantive legal issues that must be decided to resolve these claims are whether Kaiser: (i) was a “seller” of power in a transaction governed by the FPA; (ii) sold power without authority; and (iii) charged an “unjust and unreasonable” rate

---

<sup>1</sup> Specifically, 16 U.S.C §§ 824 & 825.

for such power. Although Clark believes that Kaiser clearly was a seller of power without FERC authority and charged Clark an unjust and unreasonable rate, Clark acknowledges that this legal question cannot be answered without substantial and material consideration of the FPA, particularly because Kaiser has taken the position both before the Bankruptcy Court and before FERC in a "generic rate" proceeding referred to as the "Puget Sound Proceeding" that it was *not* a seller subject to the FPA and FERC. Therefore, resolution of Clark's claims -- and hence the Claims Objection -- requires substantial and material consideration of the FPA, which must and should be performed by the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d).

A Memorandum of Law in support of this Motion is submitted and incorporated herewith.

This Motion shall not constitute a waiver by Clark of: (a) its right to trial by jury in any proceedings, cases or controversies related to the proceedings or case; (b) any other rights, claims, actions, defenses, setoffs, recoupments, refunds or disgorgements which Clark may be entitled under agreements, in law or equity, all of which rights, claims, actions, defenses, setoffs, recoupments, refunds and disgorgements are expressly reserved.

[Remainder Of Page Left Blank Intentionally]

WHEREFORE, Clark respectfully requests entry of an Order: (i) withdrawing the reference to the Bankruptcy Court of the Claims Objection; (ii) staying all proceedings in Kaiser's bankruptcy case related to its Claims Objection; and (iii) granting such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware  
October 24, 2005

JASPER SCHLESINGER & HOFFMAN LLP

/s/ Frederick B. Rosner  
Frederick B. Rosner (ID No. 3995)  
913 North Market Street 12th Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: (302) 351-8000  
Fax: (302) 351-8010

BRACEWELL & GUILIANI LLP

William A. Wood, III, Esq.  
*Pro Hac Vice Application pending*  
(Texas Bar ID 21916050)  
711 Louisiana Street  
Suite 2300  
Houston, Texas 77002-2781  
Tel: (713) 223-2300  
Fax: (713) 221-1212

George H. Williams, Esq.  
2000 K Street, N.W.  
Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20006  
Phone: (202) 828-5800  
Fax: (202) 223-1225

and

THACHER PROFFITT & WOOD LLP

Christopher F. Graham, Esq.  
Louis A. Curcio, Esq.  
Two World Financial Center  
New York, New York 10281  
Tel: (212) 912-7400  
Fax: (212) 912-7751

*Counsel to Public Utility District No. 1 of  
Clark County d/b/a Clark Public Utilities*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re: : Chapter 11  
KAISER ALUMINUM CORPORATION, : Case No. 02-10429 (JKF)  
A Delaware Corporation, et al., : Jointly Administered  
Debtors. :  
  
KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL :  
CORPORATION, :  
: Movant, :  
: v. :  
: PUBLIC UTILITY NO. 1 OF CLARK :  
COUNTY, d/b/a CLARK PUBLIC :  
UTILITIES, :  
: Respondent. :

**ORDER GRANTING PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1 OF  
CLARK COUNTY'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW THE REFERENCE**

UPON consideration of the motion of Public Utility District No. 1 of Clark County d/b/a Clark Public Utilities (“Clark”) for an order Withdrawing the Reference to the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Motion to Withdraw the Reference”) of the proceeding commenced by debtor Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation’s (“Kaiser”) Motion for an Order Disallowing Claims Filed by Clark Public Utilities, filed on October 10, 2005 (Docket No. 7480) (the “Claims Objection”), and due and sufficient notice of the Motion to Withdraw the Reference having been given; and upon the proceedings before the Court; and upon good and sufficient cause appearing;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Clark's Motion to Withdraw the Reference is granted with respect to the Claims Objection; and
2. All proceedings before the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware relating to the Claims Objection are stayed.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware  
November \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE